

## ROLES OF TOPIC CHAIRS AND TOPIC REVIEWERS FOR PACIFICHEM 2020

**Two Topic Chairs for each area** are appointed by the Organizing Committee in each of the 15 Program Topic Areas to assist the Scientific Program Subcommittee in the development of the technical program.

**Topic Reviewers** (one per society for each area) are nominated by the Scientific Program Committee and the Topic Chairs for each co-sponsoring society (United States, Canada, Japan, Australia, New Zealand, Korea, and China).

Major responsibilities of the **Topic Reviewers**:

- Review all submitted symposium proposals in their topic area. Considerations:
  - 1. Does the proposal adequately cover the Topic Area?
  - 2. How may the proposal be strengthened?
  - 3. Is the number of sessions proposed warranted by the subject?
  - 4. Does the proposal duplicate or overlap another proposed symposium in that Topic Area or another Topic Area?
  - 5. Does the proposal have three or more symposium organizers who represent at least three different Pacific Rim Countries?\*
  - 6. Is the proposed list of speakers representative of the Pacific Rim Societies?
  - 7. Does the proposal clearly identify the Corresponding Symposium Organizer (CSO) who is responsible for managing the proposal submission on behalf of the co- organizers?
    - \*Proposals may be considered from non-Pacific Rim countries, e.g. Europe, South America or Asia. In this instance, the proposal must have a minimum of 3 co- organizers from Pacific Rim countries.
- Review and grade submitted proposals in their Topic Area using the Pacifichem 2020 On-Line Symposium System within the deadline dates set by the Scientific Program Subcommittee. Proposals should receive a score for Overall Topic and Speaker List
- Identify proposals that duplicate other submitted proposals and suggest ways in which they may be combined or ways in which they could be distinguished. Note overlap by proposal ID in your text comments.
- Help solicit additional symposium proposals that would provide a more balanced and comprehensive coverage of the Topic Area consistent with the goals of the Congress.
- Nominate Judges for and participate in judging the Student Poster Awards during the 2020 Congress.

## Major responsibilities of the Topic Chairs:

- The Topic Chair has the same responsibilities of the Topic Reviewer in regards to reviewing the submitted proposals.
- Correspond with the Topic Reviewers in his/her Topic Area to ensure the timelines and objectives of the proposal review are on track.
- Use the Pacifichem 2020 On-Line Symposium System, to review the Topic Reviewer reviews to ensure active participation in order to replace inactive reviewers.
- In the event of wide disparity in the scoring a proposal, correspond with the reviewers to understand the cause and to recommend a reevaluation of the proposal to achieve better consensus.
- Solicit recommendations from the Topic Reviewers to solicit additional symposium proposals that
  would provide a more balanced and comprehensive coverage of the Topic Area consistent with the
  goals of the Congress.
- Review and grade submitted proposals in their Topic Area using the Pacifichem 2020 On-Line
   Symposium System within the deadline dates set by the Scientific Program Subcommittee. Proposals should receive a score for Overall Topic and Speaker List
- Recommend a schedule of symposia in their Topic Area to organize the Topic Area program in "parallel sessions" over all half-days of the Congress so as to use the fewest number of meeting rooms (i.e., Parallel Programming).

## I. THE CORE AREAS OF CHEMISTRY

- 1. Analytical
- 2. Inorganic
- 3. Organic
- 4. Physical
- 5. Computational and Theoretical
- 6. Macromolecular
- 7. Biological
- 8. Materials

## II. CHEMISTRY FOR GLOBAL CHALLENGES

- 9. Nanotechnology
- 10. Chemical, Biological and Biomedical Eng.
- 11. Chemistry for Sustainability
- 12. Chemistry of Energy
- 13. Chemistry of Health Care
- 14. Chemical Education and Communication
- 15. Artificial Intelligence, Big Data and Beyond